arXiv:1408.5682v1 [math.PR] 25 Aug 2014

ASYMPTOTIC SPECTRAL DISTRIBUTIONS OF DISTANCE k-GRAPHS OF STAR PRODUCT GRAPHS.

OCTAVIO ARIZMENDI AND TULIO GAXIOLA

Abstract. Let G be a finite connected graph and let $G^{[\star N,k]}$ be the distance k-graph of the N-fold star power of G. For a fixed $k \geq 1$, we show that the large N limit of the spectral distribution of $G^{[\star N,k]}$ converges to a centered Bernoulli distribution, $1/2\delta_{-1} + 1/2\delta_1$. The proof is based in a fourth moment lemma for convergence to a centered Bernoulli distribution.

1. Introduction and Statement of Results

The interest in asymptotic aspects of growing combinatorial objects has increased in recent years. In particular, the asymptotic spectral distribution of graphs has been studied from the quantum probabilistic point of view, see Hora [10] and Hora and Obata [10]. Moreover, as observed in Accardi, A. Ben Ghorbal and Obata [3], Obata [16] and Accardi, Lenczewski and Salapata [2], the cartesian, star, rooted and free products of graphs correspond to natural independences in non-commutative probability, see [5, 14, 18]. This has lead to state central limit theorems for these product of graphs by reinterpreting the classical, free [6, 20], Boolean [19] and monotone [15] central limit theorems.

More recently, in a series of papers [8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 17] the asymptotic spectral distribution of the distance k-graph of the N-fold power of the cartesion product was studied. These investigations, finally lead to the following theorem which generalizes the central limit theorem for cartesian products of graphs.

Theorem 1.1 (Hibino, Lee and Obata [9])**.** *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite connected graph with $|V| \geq 2$. For $N \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$ let $G^{[N,k]}$ be the distance k-graph of $G^N = G \times \cdots \times G$ (N-fold Cartesian power) and $A^{[N,k]}$ its adjacency matrix. Then, for a fixed $k \geq 1$, the eigenvalue distribution of $N^{-k/2}A^{[N,k]}$ converges in moments as $N \to \infty$ to the probability distribution of*

$$\left(\frac{2|E|}{|V|}\right)^{k/2} \frac{1}{k!} \tilde{H}_k(g), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\tilde{H}_k$ is the monic Hermite polynomial of degree k and g is a random variable obeying the standard normal distribution $N(0, 1)$.

In this note we study the distribution (with respect to the vacuum state) of the star product of graphs. That is, we prove the analog of Theorem 1.1 by changing the cartesian product by the star product.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $G = (V, E, e)$ be a locally finite connected graph and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $G^{[k]}$ is not trivial. For $N \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$ let $G^{[\star N,k]}$ be the distance*

Date: August 26, 2014.

k-graph of $G^{\star N} = G \star \cdots \star G$ (N-fold star power) and $A^{[\star N,k]}$ its adjacency matrix. Furthermore, let $\sigma = V_e^{[k]}$ be the number of neighbours of e in the distance k-graph of G, then the distribution with respect to the vacuum state of $(N\sigma)^{-1/2}A^{[\star N,k]}$ converges in distribution as $N \to \infty$ to a centered Bernoulli distribution. That is,

$$\frac{A^{[\star N,k]}}{\sqrt{N\sigma}} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}\delta_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_1,$$

weakly.

The limit distribution above is universal in the sense that it is independent of the details of a factor G, but also in this case the limit **does not** depend on k. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based in a fourth moment lemma for convergence to a centered Bernoulli distribution.

Apart from the introduction, this note is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to preliminaries. In Section 3 we prove a fourth moment lemma for convergence to a centered Bernoulli distribution. Finally in Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.2.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we give very basic preliminaries on graphs the Cauchy transform, Jacobi parameters and non-commutative probability. The reader familiar with these objects may skip this section.

2.1. **Graphs.** A *directed graph* or *digraph* is a pair $G = (V, E)$ such that V is a non-empty set and $E \subseteq V \times V$. The elements of V and E are called the *vertices* and the *edges* of the digraph G, respectively. Two vertices x, $y \in V$ are *adjacent,* or *neighbours* if $(x, y) \in E$.

We call *loop* an edge of the form (v, v) and we say that a graph is *simple* if has no loops. A digraph is called *undirected* if $(v, w) \in E$ implies $(w, v) \in E$.

We will work with simple undirected digraphs and use the word *graph* for a simple undirected digraph without any further reference.

The *adjacency matrix* of G is the matrix indexed by the vertex set V, where $A_{xy} = 1$ when $(x, y) \in E$ and $A_{xy} = 0$ otherwise.

A *path* is a graph $P = (V, E)$ with vertex set $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ and edges $E = \{(v_1, v_2), \ldots, (v_{k-1}, v_k)\}$. A *walk* is a path that can repeat edges. We say that a graph is *connected* if every pair of distinct vertices x, $y \in V$ are connected by a walk (or equivalently by a path).

In this note we focus on specific type of graphs coming from the distance k-graphs of the star product of finite rooted graph.

For a given graph $G = (V, E)$ and a positive integer k the *distance k-graph* is defined to be a graph $G^{[k]} = \left(V, E^{[k]}\right)$ with

$$E^{[k]} = \{(x, y) : x,\ y \in V,\ \partial_G(x, y) = k\},$$

where $\partial_G(x, y)$ is the graph distance. Figure 1 shows the distance 2-graph induced by the 3 dimentional cube.

A *rooted* graph is a graph with a labeled vertex $o \in V$. Finally we define the star product of rooted graphs. For $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ be two graph with distinguished vertices $o_1 \in V_1$ and $o_2 \in V_2$, the *star product graph* of G_1 with



FIGURE 1. 3-Cube and its distance 2-graph

G_2 is the graph $G_1 \star G_2 = (V_1 \times V_2, E)$ such that for $(v_1, w_1)\,,\ (v_2, w_2) \in V_1 \times V_2$ the edge $e = (v_1, w_1) \sim (v_2, w_2) \in E$ if and only if one of the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} 1.\ v_1 &= v_2 = o_1 \text{ and } w_1 \sim w_2 \\ 2.\ v_1 &\sim v_2 \text{ and } w_1 = w_2 = o_2. \end{aligned}$$

As we can see, the star product is a graph obtained by gluing two graphs at their distinguished vertices o_1 and o_2.



FIGURE 2. Star product of two cycles

2.2. **The Cauchy Transfom.** We denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the set of Borel probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$. The upper half-plane and the lower half-plane are respectively denoted as $\mathbb{C}^+$ and $\mathbb{C}^-$.

For a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, the Cauchy transform $G_\mu : \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^-$ is defined by the integral

$$G_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mu(dx)}{z - x},\ z \in \mathbb{C}^+)$$

The Cauchy transform is an important tool in non-commutative probability. For us, the following relation between weak convergence and the Cauchy Transform will be important.

Proposition 2.1. *Let μ_1 and μ_2 be two probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$ and*

$$d(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \sup\left\{|G_{\mu_1}(z) - G_{\mu_2}(z)|\,; \Im(z) \geq 1\right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then d is a distance which defines a metric for the weak topology of probability measures. Moreover, $|G_\mu(z)|$ is bounded in $\{z : \Im(z) \geq 1\}$ by 1.

In other words, a sequence of probability measures $\{\mu_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ converges weakly to a probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$ if and only if for all z with $\Im(z) \geq 1$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} G_{\mu_n}(z) = G_\mu(z).$$

2.3. **The Jacobi Parameters.** Let μ be a probability measure with all moments, that is $m_n(\mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^n \mu(dx) < \infty$. The Jacobi parameters $\gamma_m = \gamma_m(\mu) \geq 0, \beta_m = \beta_m(\mu) \in \mathbb{R}$, are defined by the recursion

$$xP_m(x) = P_{m+1}(x) + \beta_m P_m(x) + \gamma_{m-1} P_{m-1}(x),$$

where the polynomials $P_{-1}(x) = 0$, $P_0(x) = 1$ y $(P_m)_{m\geq 0}$ is a sequence of orthogonal monic polynomials with respect to μ, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_m(x)P_n(x)\mu(dx) = 0 \qquad \text{si } m \neq n.$$

A measure μ is supported on m points iff $\gamma_{m-1} = 0$ and $\gamma_n > 0$ for $n = 0, \ldots, m-2$.

The Cauchy transform may be expressed as a continued fraction in terms of the Jacobi parameters, as follows.

$$G_\mu(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z-t}\mu(dt) = \cfrac{1}{z - \beta_0 - \cfrac{\gamma_0}{z - \beta_1 - \cfrac{\gamma_1}{z - \beta_2 - \cdots}}}$$

An important example for this paper is the Bernoulli distribution $\mathbf{b} = 1/2\delta_1 + 1/2\delta_1$ for which $\beta_0 = 0, \gamma_0 = 1$, and $\beta_n = \gamma_n = 0$ for $n \geq 1$. Thus, the Cauchy transform is given by

$$G_{\mathbf{b}}(z) = \frac{1}{z - 1/z}.$$

In the case when μ has $2n+2$-moments we can still make an orthogonalization procedure until the level n. In this case the Cauchy transform has the form

$$G_\mu(z) = \cfrac{1}{z - \beta_0 - \cfrac{\gamma_0}{z - \beta_1 - \cfrac{\gamma_1}{\ddots \cfrac{}{z - \beta_n - \gamma_n G_\nu(z)}}}} \tag{2.2}$$

where ν is a probability measure.

2.4. **Non-Commutative Probability Spaces.** A *C^*-probability space* is a pair $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital C^*-algebra and $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a positive unital linear functional. The elements of $\mathcal{A}$ are called (non-commutative) random variables. An element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $a = a^*$ is called self-adjoint.

The functional φ should be understood as the expectation in classical probability.

For $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathcal{A}$, we will refer to the values of $\varphi(a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n})$, $1 \leq i_1, \ldots i_n \leq k$, $n \geq 1$, as the *mixed moments* of $a_1, \ldots, a_k$.

For any self-adjoint element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a unique probability measure μ_a (its spectral distribution) with the same moments as a, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k \mu_a(dx) = \varphi(a^k), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We say that a sequence $a_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$ *converges in distribution* to $a \in \mathcal{A}$ if μ_{a_n} converges in distribution to μ_a.

In this note we will only consider the C^*-probability spaces $(\mathcal{M}_n, \phi_1)$, where $\mathcal{M}_n$ is the set of matrices of size $n \times n$ and for a matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}_n$ the functional ϕ_1 evaluated in M is given by

$$\phi_1(M) = M_{11}.$$

Let $G = (V, E, 1)$ be a finite rooted graph with vertex set $\{1, ..., n\}$ and let A_G be the adjacency matrix. We denote by $A(G) \subset \mathcal{M}_n$ be the adjacency algebra, i.e., the $*$-algebra generated by A_G.

It is easy to see that the k-th moment of A with respect to the ϕ_1 is given the the number of walks in G of size k starting and ending at the vertex 1. That is,

$$\phi_1(A^k) = |\{(v_1, ..., v_k) : v_1 = v_k = 1 \text{ and } (v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E\}|.$$

Thus one can get combinatorial information of G from the values of ϕ_1 in elements of $A(G)$ and vice versa.

3. The fourth moment lemma

The following lemma which shows that the first, second and fourth moments are enough to ensure convergence to a Bernoulli distribution was observed in [4] . We give a new proof in terms of Jacobi parameters for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset (\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$, be a sequence of self-adjoint random variables in some non-commutative probability space, such that $\varphi(X_n) = 0$ and $\varphi(X_n^2) = 1$. If $\varphi\left(X_n^4\right) \to 1$, as $n \to \infty$, then μ_{X_n} converges in distribution to a symmetric Bernoulli random variable* $\mathbf{b}$.

Proof. Let $(\{\gamma_i(\mu_{X_n})\}, \{\beta_i(\mu_{X_n})\})$ be the Jacobi parameters of the measures μ_{X_n}. The first moments $\{m_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ are given in terms of the Jacobi Parameters as follows, see [1].

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= \beta_0 \\ m_2 &= \beta_0^2 + \gamma_0 \\ m_3 &= \beta_0^3 + 2\gamma_0\gamma_0 + \beta_1\gamma_0 \\ m_4 &= \beta_0^4 + 3\beta_0^2\beta_1 + 2\beta_1\beta_0\gamma_0 + \beta_1^2\gamma_0 + \gamma_0^2 + \gamma_0\gamma_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $m_1(\mu_{X_n}) = 0$ and $m_2(\mu_{X_n}) = 1$ we have

$$\beta_0(\mu_{X_n}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_0(\mu_{X_n}) = 1 \qquad \forall n \geq 1,$$

Hence,

$$m_4(\mu_{X_n}) = \beta_1^2(\mu_{X_n}) + 1 + \gamma_1(\mu_{X_n}). \tag{3.1}$$

Now, since $m_4(\mu_{X_n})\, 1$ and $\gamma_1 \geq 0$ we have the convergence

$$\beta_1(\mu_{X_n}) \underset{n\to\infty}{\to} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_1(\mu_{X_n}) \underset{n\to\infty}{\to} 0.$$

Let G_{μ_n} be the Cauchy transform of μ_n. By (2.2) we can expand G_μ as a continued fraction as follows

$$G_{\mu_n}(z) = \cfrac{1}{z - \cfrac{1}{z - \beta_1 - \gamma_1 G_{\nu_n}(z)}}$$

where ν_n is some probability measure. Now, recall that $|G_{\nu_n}(z)|$ is bounded by 1 in the set $\{z|; \Im(z) \geq 1\}$ and thus, since $\gamma_1 \to 0$ and $\beta_1 \to 0$ we see that $\gamma_n G_{\nu_n}(z) \to 0$. This implies the point-wise convergence

$$G_{\mu_n}(z) \to \frac{1}{z - \frac{1}{z}}$$

in the set $\{z|; \Im(z) \geq 1\}$, which then implies the weakly convergence $\mu_n \to \mathbf{b}$. □

From the proof of the previous lemma one can give a quantitative version in terms of the distance given in eq (2.1).

Proposition 3.2. *Let μ be a probability measure such that $m_4 := m_4(\mu)$ is finite. Then*

$$d(\mu, \frac{1}{2}\delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{-1}) \leq 4\sqrt{m_4 - 1}.$$

Proof. If $m_4 - 1 > 1/16$ then the statement is trivial since $d(\mu, 1/2\delta_1 + 1/2\delta_{-1}) \leq 1$ for any measure μ. Thus we may assume that $(m_4 - 1) \leq 1/16$.

Denoting by $f(z) = \beta_1 - \gamma_1 G_{\nu_n}(z)$ we have

$$|G_\mu(z) - G_b(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{z - \frac{1}{z}} - \frac{1}{z - \frac{1}{z - f(z)}} \right| = \left| \frac{f(z)}{(z^2 - 1)(z^2 - 1 - f(z)z)} \right|.$$

From (3.1) we get the inequalities $\sqrt{m_4 - 1} \geq |\beta_1|$ and $\sqrt{m_4 - 1} \geq m_4 - 1 \geq \gamma_1$. Since, for $Im(z) > 1$, we have that, $|G_\nu(z)| < 1$ we see that $|f(z)| = |\beta_1 - \gamma_1 G_\nu(z)| \leq 2\sqrt{m_4 - 1} \leq 1/2.$, from where we can easily obtain the bound $|\frac{1}{z^2 - 1 - f(z)z}| \leq 2$. Also, for $Im(z) > 0$ we have the bound $|\frac{1}{(z^2-1)}| < 1$. Thus we have

$$|G_\mu(z) - G_b(z)| = \left| \frac{f(z)}{(z^2 - 1)(z^2 - 1 - f(z)z)} \right| \tag{3.2}$$

$$= |f(z)| \left| \frac{1}{(z^2 - 1)} \right| \left| \frac{1}{z^2 - 1 - f(z)z} \right| \tag{3.3}$$

$$\leq 2|f(z)| \leq 4\sqrt{m_4 - 1}. \tag{3.4}$$

as desired.

□

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Before proving Theorem 1.2 we will prove a lemma about the structure of distance k-graph of the iterated star product of a graph.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $G = (V, E, e)$ be a connected finite graph with root e and k such that $G^{[k]}$ is a non-trivial graph. Let $G^{\star N[k]}$ be the distance k-graph of the N-th star product of G, then $G^{\star N[k]}$ admits a decomposition of the form.*

$$G^{\star N[k]} = (G^{[k]})^{\star N} \cup \hat{G}.$$

where $\partial G(z, e) < k$, for all $z \in \hat{G}$:

Proof. Let $G_1,\ G_2,\ \ldots, G_N$ be the N copies of G, that form the star product graph $G^{\star N}$ by gluing them at e. For $x, y \in G_i$, the distance between x and y is given by

$$\partial_{G^{\star N}}(x,y) = \partial_{G_i}(x,y) = \partial_G(x,y),$$

hence

$$(x,y) \in E\left(G_i^{[k]}\right) \text{ if and only if } (x,y) \in E\left(\left(G^{\star N}\right)^{[k]}\right),$$

therefore we have $\left(G^{[k]}\right)^{\star N} \subseteq \left(G^{\star N}\right)^{[k]}$.

Now, if $x \in G_i$ and $y \in G_j$ with $j \neq i$, by definition all the paths in $G^{\star N}$ from x to y must pass throw e, then we have

$$\partial_{G^{\star N}}(x,y) = \partial_{G_i}(x,e) + \partial_{G_j}(y,e),$$

thus

$$(x,y) \in E\left(\left(G^{\star N}\right)^{[k]}\right) \text{ if and only if } \partial_{G_i}(x,e) + \partial_{G_j}(y,e) = k.$$

Since $\partial_{G_i}(x,e),\ \partial_{G_j}(y,e) > 0$, we obtain the desired result. □

Now, we are in position to prove the main theorem of the paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Consider the non-commutative probability space $(\mathcal{A}, \phi_1)$ with $\phi_1(M) = M_{11}$, for $M \in \mathcal{A}$ (see Section 2). Then, recall that, if A is an adjacency matrix, $\phi_1\left(A^k\right)$ equals the number of walks of size k starting and ending at the vertex 1.

Since G is a simple graph, it has no loops and then $G^{\star N}$ is also a simple graph. Thus,

$$\phi_1\left(\frac{A^{[\star N,k]}}{\sqrt{N|V_e^{[k]}|}}\right) = 0.$$

Now, observe that since the graph $G^{\star N}$ has no loops, the only walks in G of size 2 which start in e and end in e are of the form (exe), where x is a neighbor of e in $\left(G^{\star N}\right)^{[k]}$. The number of neighbors of e is exactly $N|V_e^{[k]}|$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1\left(\left(\frac{A^{[\star N,k]}}{\sqrt{N|V_e^{[k]}|}}\right)^2\right) &= \frac{1}{N|V_e^{[k]}|}\phi_1\left(\left(A^{[\star N,k]}\right)^2\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{N|V_e^{[k]}|}N|V_e^{[k]}| = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have seen that $\phi(A_N) = 0$ and $\phi(A_N^2) = 1$. Hence, it remains to show that $\phi(A_N^4) \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$.

We are interested in counting the number of walk of size 4 that start and finish at e in $\left(G^{\star N}\right)^{[k]}$. We will divide this walks in two types.

Type 1. The first type of walk is of the form $exeye$. That is, the walk starts at e, then visits a neighbor x of e to then come back to e, this can be done in $N|V_e^{[k]}|$ ways. After this, he again visits a a neighbour y (which could be again x) of e to



FIGURE 3. Types of walks of size 4

finally come back to e. Again, this second step can be done in $N|V_e^{[k]}|$ different ways , so there is $\left(N|V_e^{[k]}|\right)^2$ walks of this type. Thus

$$1 = \frac{\left(N|V_e^{[k]}|\right)^2}{\left(N|V_e^{[k]}|\right)^2} \leq \phi_1 \left(\left(\frac{A^{[\star N,k]}}{\sqrt{N|V_e^{[k]}|}} \right)^4 \right).$$

Type 2. Let $G_x^{[k]}$ be the copy of $G^{[k]}$ in the distance-k graph of the star product $\left(G^{[k]}\right)^{\star N}$ which contains x. The second type of walks is as follows. From e is goes to some $x \in V_e^{[k]}$ (which can be chosen in $N|V_e^{[k]}|$ different ways), and then from x then he goes to some $y \neq e$. This y should belong to $G_x^{[k]}$. Indeed, since $\delta_{(G^{\star N})}(e,x) = k$, if y would be in another copy of $G^{[k]}$ the distance $\delta_{(G^{\star N})}(y,x)$, between y and x would be bigger than k. The number of ways of choosing y is bounded by the number of neighbours of x.

For the next step of the walk, from y we can only go to a neighbor of e, say $z \in V_e^{[k]}$ (since in the last step it must come back to e). This z indeed must also belong to $G_x^{[k]}$,. If this wouldn't be the case and $z \notin G_x^{[k]}$, then we would have that $\delta_{(G^{\star N})}(e,z) \neq k$, which is a contradiction because of Lemma 4.1.



FIGURE 4. Obstructions

Finally, let $M = \max_{x\in V} |V_x^{[k]}|$. Then, from the above considerations we see that the number of walks of Type 2 is bounded by $M\left(N|V_e^{[k]}\right)\left(|V_e^{[k]}|\right)$, from where

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1\left(\left(\frac{A^{[\star N,k]}}{\sqrt{N|V_e^{[k]}|}}\right)^4\right) &\leq \frac{\left(N|V_e^{[k]}|\right)^2}{\left(N|V_e^{[k]}|\right)^2} + \frac{N|V_e^{[k]}|M|V_e^{[k]}|}{\left(N|V_e^{[k]}|\right)^2} \\ &= 1 + \frac{M}{N} \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 1,\end{aligned}$$

since M does not depend on N. Thanks to Lemma 3.1 we obtain the desired result. □

References

[1] L. Accardi, M. Bozejko, Interacting Fock spaces and Gaussianization of probability measures, *Inn. Dimen. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, **1.** (1998).

[2] L. Accardi, R. Lenczewski, R. Salapata: Decompositions of the free product of graphs. *Inn. Dimen. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, **10.** (2007).

[3] L. Accardi, A. B. Ghorbal, N. Obata, Monotone independence, comb graphs and Bose-Einstein condensation, *Inn. Dimen. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, **7.** (2004).

[4] O. Arizmendi, Convergence of the fourth moment and infinitely divisibility. *Probability and Mathematical Statistics***33**, (2013) 2, 201–212.

[5] A. Ben Ghorbal and M. Schürman. Non-commutative notions of stochastic independence, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **133**, (2002), 531-561.

[6] M. Bożejko. On $\Lambda(p)$ sets with minimal constant in discrete noncommutative groups. **51** *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 51 (1975), 407412

[7] A. Hora: Central limit theorem for the adjacency operators on the infinite symmetric group, Commun. Math. Phys. 195 (1998), 405416.

[8] Y. Hibino, Asymptotic spectral distributions of distance-k graphs of Hamming graphs. Commun. Stoch. Anal. 7 (2013), no. 2, 275282.

[9] Y. Hibino, H. H. Lee, N. Obata: *Asymptotic spectral distributions of distance k-graphs of cartesian product graphs,* Asymptotic spectral distributions of distance-k graphs of Cartesian product graphs. *Colloq. Math.* 132 (2013), no. 1, 3551. 05C12 (47A10)

[10] A. Hora and N. Obata: Quantum Probability and Spectral Analysis of Graphs, Springer, 2007.

[11] J. Kurihara: Asymptotic spectral analysis of a distance k-graph of N-fold direct product of a graph, Commun. Stoch. Anal. 6 (2012), 213221.

[12] J. Kurihara and Y. Hibino: Asymptotic spectral analysis of a generalized N-cube by a quantum probabilistic method, Inn. Dimen. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. 14 (2011), 409417.

[13] H. H. Lee and N. Obata, Distance-k graphs of hypercube and q-Hermite polynomials. Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. 16 (2013), no. 2, 1350011, 12 pp.

[14] N. Muraki. The five independences as natural products. *Infin. Dimen. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top* **6**, (2003) 337–371

[15] N. Muraki. Monotonic Independence, Monotonic Central Limit Theorem and Monotonic Law of Small Numbers. *Infin. Dimen. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top* **4**, (2001) 39–58.

[16] N. Obata, *Quantum probabilistic approach to spectral analysis of star graphs,* Interdisciplinary Information Sciencies **10.** (2004).

[17] N. Obata: Asymptotic spectral distributions of distance k-graphs of large-dimensional hypercubes. Banach Center Publ. 96 (2012), 287-292.

[18] R. Speicher, On universal products. Fields Institute Communications, D. Voiculescu, (ed). 12, 257-266. (1997)

[19] R. Speicher and R. Woroudi, Boolean convolution, in Free Probability Theory, Ed. D. Voiculescu, *Fields Inst. Commun.* **12** (Amer. Math. Soc., 1997), 267–280.

[20] D. Voiculescu, Symmetries of some reduced free product C*-algebras, in Operator Algebras and their Connections with Topology and Ergodic Theory pp. 556588, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1132, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1985.

Research Center for Mathematics, CIMAT, Aparatado Postal 402, Guanajuato, GTO, 36240, Mexico